G. R S IX-5]

Previous references

File No. 52

Serial Nos. 1 to 11

1920.

(Proc.)

Later references

Mar 3 20 [illegible]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos.

Part B for

SUBJECT

Offer of Devanga Sri Linnayya of Singasetti Pet Bangalore city to contribute Rs 3000 under the Charitable endowments rules for conduct of certain services in the Sri Ganga Adhikaresvara Swami Temple at Ganga. Bangalore city

25/1/8/18/21

To

Preserve

The Deputy Commissioner Bangalore states that the petitioner may be allowed to have his offer in writing before the next Magha Shuddha Ekadasi and that he in his capacity as District Registrar may prescribe the route for [illegible] the passing of the procession

WD 2233—GPD—20 000—14.6 20

G. K. S. 11] 1920-1

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Inam)

NOTES.

File No. 52-20

FILE HEADING.

Offer of Devangada Timmayya of Linganetti pete, Bangalore city, to endow Rs 3000, under the ~~Chari~~ Charitable Endowment Rules, for conduct of certain services in the Sri Gangadhara Varaswami Temple at Gavipur, Banga[illegible]

From

Timmayya son of Devangada Gangama -

No. Petn Dated 26-7-20 Received 27-7-20

Diary. No.	Enclos	Spare Copies.	Serial No.

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

States that in order to give a permanent basis to the Utsava he is now celebrating in the Sri Gangadhareswara Swami Temple at Gavipur & to the feeding conducted in the chattram built by him at Gavipur during the month of Magha, he is prepared to deposit Rs 3000 with Govt under the ~~Chari~~ Charitable Endowt Rules and prays that his endowment may be accepted by Govt and arrangements made for the conduct of the aforesaid services —

NOTES AND ORDERS

The services for the conduct of which the endowment is proposed to be made, are detailed below.

Feeding in the chattram constructed by the Petr at Gavipur on Magha Sunday

NOTES—First Sheet

G. R S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Inz. File No. 52 of 1920.

Serial No.

2) Flower Pallakki Utsavam to the Sri Gangadhareswara that night after 8 p.

3) The next morning the same Utsavam to be start from the temple and passing through the fort gate, chowk, Ball pete, Lingadhipete & Balaour pete, re to Gavipuri.

2 At the close of the fete, the pete says that he means to invest the amount in State Loan Bonds carrying 6¼% interest.

3 The points for consideration here are:

1) What the total cost of feeding and Utsavams will be and whether the interest on the endowment will suffice for their conduct. At 6¼% the interest will amount to Rs 187-8-0

At 5% interest it will amount to Rs 150/-

At 4% interest it will be Rs 120/-

The temple authorities & the local officers must be consulted as to cost.

2) Whether there is any objection to the conduct of the Utsavam, particularly the 2nd day Utsavam through some of the streets.

4 Subd. for orders as to whether the pete

NOTE—Contn. sheet

R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Inv. File No. 52 of 1920.

Serial No.

may be forwarded to the Dy. Comr. for a report on the points noted.

JD. 31/7/20

5 Mehtas may be forwarded & the Dy. Comr. an early report.

Minimal is a sowcar of note here and as he is retiring JD, he is anxious abt. this endowment being accepted early.

RD 7/8/20

6. There no objection to the donor depositing the money either as a Charitable deposit @ 6% or in the Loan @ 6½%. Govt. Ideal of the local Authorities can undertake to carry out the prescribed services either within the limited interest accruing or in the manner stipulated - particulars with regd. to the provision for the Temple & the Petkhand -.

7. The petrs may be informed that the Endowment will be accepted & that he may make known for the performance of the above

Tolley)

2/8/20

& I think it is

NOTE—Contn. sheet

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Duty File No. 52 of 1920

Serial No.	
	Necessary to consult the temple authorities. We should find out if they have any objection to the proposed changes in the management & Defer the case upto 1921 2/8/20
ST 2/8	
	Draft letter is 3/8/20 10 Jones 10/8/20
2	To, by. Canon Bangalore No. Forwards Reports & Cases [illegible]
Duty 3	[illegible]

NOTE.—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 52 of 19 20

Serial No.	
	Endo inobjectionable if these Communities hold the car festival in the Temple.
	11 The pete who belongs to Devanga caste of 9 phanas, desires to celebrate Hunnima Pattabhi utsava & Sri Ganigangadharaswara swami on magha Suddha Pournami night at 8 P.M. and conduct the utsava the next day through certain streets of Bangalore enumerated in page 2 of the office note.
	This applicants who belong to the Desada Pete Lingayats are reported to enjoy by long established manul, the right to celebrate the Ratotsavam between Magha Suddha Navami and Magha Bahula Padya, and are now preparing to concede the right to the pete on magha Suddha Pournami, and also to take the utsava to the Desada Pete as prayed for by the pete.
	13 The temple Dharmadarsi who held their meeting on 12 & 20 C have agreed to the decision.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 52 of 1912

Serial No.	
	14 The Auditor reports that no orders need be passed till the contending parties come to a compromise which may be given effect to.
I	
	15 The Dy. Commr thinks that there can be no objection to the pets being permitted to take his utsava at a time and along routes unobjectionable to other Communities with vested interests in the temple.
N. & D	
	16 It is reported that in the year 1912, the pets Community conducted this utsava only at Ganjam after the completion of the utsavas of Desada pete people.
V	
	17 One Chikkabasanna who belongs to the pets Community attempted Jeshtotsava of the deity in Sampaschathi tanka in 1904.
	Mem 2 no. 110 of 1903-04 granting permission to Chikkabasanna to Celebrate Jeshtotsava and Jud 2 no. 89 of 1904 prescribing
X	

NOTE—Contn. sheet

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 52 of 19 20

Serial No.

for this waiver are submitted for perusal.

16.11.20 16/11/20

Drawing regard to the facts of this Case & the inability of the Dharmadarsees to come to any decision, it seems expedient to settle the Question of the Zemindari head - Para 7 of the D's letter leaves the matter open to ~~future~~ disputes in the future

17-11-20

Dy)

20/

Asked to Consult the D.C regarding the Matter referred to in para 7 of his letter and to submit a further report in the matter

21 Dec 19/11/20

21 Dec/r

Asst

24-11-20

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 52 of 19 20

Serial No.

3313-235
11-12-20.

Cannot the determination of a suitable - i.e. unobjectionable - route be left to the Dy Commrs Ranchi? From the D.C.'s letter it is clear that as long as there is no interference with the rights of the Secondrate Luigayats, there will be no difficulty in the matter. If the possession is achieved & forced are unobjectionable route & time & place on a day after the L. Luigayats have finished their annual ceremonies, it does not appear that any complications are likely to arise.

Secretariat
Ranchi
13.12.20

NOTE—Contn sheet

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Inam File No. 52 of 1921

Serial No. 4

From, Srinivasiyya, Bangalore city.

Petition dt 18-12-20, and presented to the II^d member of Council on 21-12-20

Prays for very early orders permitting him to take the utsava ghoti Gangadhareswara Swami to his chattram in Ganipur, Bangalore, before the ensuing magha Suddha Panchami, and carry it on to his residence through market, Doddapet and Nagarthpet, and offers a cash deposit of Rs 3000/- for the purpose

The petition is addressed to the II^d member of Council.

Submitted for orders.

AV 23.12.20.

23/12

23-12-20

Before issuing orders on this petition it may be referred to the Commissioner for immediate report.

Second Member 23/12/20

30.12.20

31/12/20

3587-179

27.12.20

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 52 of 1921

Serial No.

3 DO Section
M 3-1-21 BG
3/1/21
3 Asst 3-1-21
Issue)
Issue Order
4/1/21
Asst 4-1-21

5 To, by Common Bungalow Pt
No 2267 of 4/1/21
forward Receipt of Thummayy - (8 Copies)
for immediate report being submitted thereon -

6 From, Mr Dy. Comr., Bungalow
Dt 7,
Letter no. 152 Dt 7-1-1921.
Submits further information
called for in Sl. 5

NOTE—Contn. sheet

General and Revenue Secretariat. Proc. File No. 52 of 19 21

Serial No.

no objection.

As regards the route of carrying the procession, the Dy: Commr reports that in the interests of the public peace, it is better not to allow the Bedas to take the Perahera by the route selected by him, but suggests that the matter may be left to the City Magistrate so that the procession route may not be objectionable to other communities, with vested interests in the Town, &c.

As Submitted from Kegalle

W.P. 10-1-21

10/1/21

Please file 89 of 08-09 shows that the D.C. may prescribed a definite route in June 1904 for this procession.

Today

10-1-21

Permission may be allowed to conduct a procession before the next Maha Sudha Panchami as the objection of the Basnayake

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 52 of 1922

Serial No.

Sanjay is to be kept attached to Deputy Magha Lakha Nawani Magha Bahula Bedie.

2. As regards the route, the Dy Comm says that the one proposed by Peter is objectionable and that the Cantonment Magistrate may be allowed asked to select a route free from objection. The Dt Magistrate to select a route, in consultation with the Second member.

11/1/21

3664-58
11.1.21.

Draft above
14/1/21

14.1.21

D.O.L. to Comm-Dy
15-1-1921

15/1/21

15/1/21

15/1/21

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

MG File No. 52 of 19 21

Serial No.	
	Dpt. add & Tue for Mr Nimmaiyya is submr. for appt. C. 20-1-21 20/1/21 Mune 21/1/21
7.	To, by. Commr. Bangalore Distt. No. 2507 dy. 18.1.21 States that he may be allowed to conducted his procession before the next Magha Shudha Panchami & that he, in his capacity as Distt. Magistrate may prescribe a route free from all objection for the passing of the procession.
	No. 2546 dy. 21.1.21 Informs Nimmayya, the petr. of the above.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

MAP No. 2.34

F. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT,.....

.....

.....

19/7/20.

Diary No. of letter replied to... 238

Section Anjanai
File No. 52-20
Serial No. 2

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT
(Anj)
, *the* 191 .

No. 608 Enl

Date of issue 16-8-20

Signed by Mr. a. m Dyer

To

The Dy: Comr, Bangalore Dist.

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith a petition dt 26-7-20 from Timmayya of Linganathipete Bangalore city, offering to deposit, under the Charitable Endowment Rules, a sum of Rs 3000/- for certain services in the Sri Gangadharaswara Swamy Temple at Gavipuram, Bangalore City, and to request that ~~a report~~ ~~may be called~~ ~~as to whether~~

You will be regarded as to report on the several requests contained therein —

over.

~~there is any objection for~~
carrying out the procession of
the deity ~~as~~ in the manner proposed
by the petitioners and whether
the interest on the endowment
will suffice for all the services
stipulated by him.

2. An early reply is requested.

I have etc

Secretary
Mug: Deptt

~~has~~ as also as
how for
the Temple authorities
can & are able
to carry out the
Sevas in accordance
with the wishes of the
donor - particularly
in respect of the proposed
procession of the deity
along the Peta, ~~and the~~
~~Export~~.

2 - An early reply is
Requested

List of enclosures.

Pradhan.

W 8720

Copied by

Examined by

DIARY No. 781
20-21
Mer
52-20
3

1100 19

From
The Deputy Commissr
Bangalore

To
The Secretary to Govt
Sanyasrai Departmt

Dated
Despatched } Bangalore
30th Septr 20

Received

General No. Mc 152
No. Do. 21

Enclosure No. One Bdle

Submits the under
file of records re. the
petition of Shanmiah
of Lingasethpet

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,

Bangalore District,

Misc 152 Received and filed Dated Bangalore, 30th September 1920
20-21

From

M. A. Narayana Iyengar, Esq., B.A, B.L.,

Deputy Commissioner,

Bangalore District.

To

T. E. Jayarama Iyer, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of Mysore,

Muzrai Department,

Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.608/Muz.52-20-2 dated the 16th August 1920 forwarding the petition of Thimmaya of Lingasettipete, I have the honor to state that the petitioner belongs to Devanga Caste of nine Panas. There is a long standing dispute between the petitioner community and the Desadapete Lingayets with regard to the procession of Sri Gavi Gangadhareswaraswami.

2. Desadapete Lingayets object that no utsavam should be conducted by the petitioner and his community in the midst of their utsavam which they perform from time immemorial at the time of Rathotsavam of Sri Gavi Gangadhareswaraswami at Gavipur in Bangalore City between ಮುಪ್ಪಶುದ್ಧಕಲು and ಮುಪ್ಪಬಹುಳಬಿದಿಗೆ. They say that they have no objection if the petitioner performing the utsavam at Gavipur on any day after the Kankana Visarjane (ಕಂಕಣವಿಸರ್ಜನೆ) but on no account should the utsavam be taken to the Desadapete by the petitioner and the people of his community.

3. The petitioner himself admits that his community never conducted the utsavam at any time. But in the year 1912, under the orders of the City Magistrate, the petitioner's community conducted the utsavam only at Gavipur and after the completion of all the utsavams by the Desadapete people.

4. In the year 1904, when one Chikkabasanna of the petitioner's community applied for permission to take the diety in procession to

to Sampigehalli tank for Theppotsavam, the Government granted him an endorsement (No.J.1193/Police.89 of 03-04 dated 11th November 1904) stating that the choice of selecting the route for the procession was left with the Deputy Commissioner so as to avoid chances of disturbance of the public peace. The Deputy Commissioner selected a separate route excluding the peta and issued an order on 9th June 1904 to the Superintendent of Police.

5. The petitioner and the "Yajaman" of the Desadapete Lingayets are also Dharmadarsies to the temple. The matter was discussed in one of the three meetings and they did not come to any decision.

6. The temple is no doubt a Muzrai Institution and as such every one has a right to worship therein, but that cannot be allowed to operate against the long standing local mamool without needlessly creating sectarian troubles.

7. If the petitioner desires it, there can be no objection to his being permitted to take his utsavam at a time and along routes unobjectionable to other communities with vested interests in the temple.

8. The Bangalore Taluk Amildar's No.463 dated 22nd September 1920 together with the entire vernacular records are herewith submitted

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

To The Mizrai Secretary.
Compliments.

Read.

21. 12. 20

Read 23.12.20

21. 12. 20

DIARY 1346

1920 21
SECTION Mizr
FILE NO. 52 - 20
SERIAL NO. 4

G. R. S. VI—G/32] .

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to—————

Section Emg Cau

File No. 52-20

Serial No. 5

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the 191 .

No. 2267 Encome

Date of issue 4. 1. 21

To

The Dy Commr,

Bangalore Dn

Signed by Mr Asst. Secy

Sir,

Adverting to your Cir No. 152 Dy 30th September & co reporting on the petition of Timmanna of Linga Setty pete, Bangalore, in the matter of the celebration of Hanumanta Palakki utsava & Sri Ganesh Gangadharaeshwara Swamis Bangalore. I am directed to

herewith - for immediate report

petition regd. no. 1346 dy 21st Dec. 1920

from the said Timmaigya

praying for early orders

permitting him to take the

said utsava before the

ensuing magha Suddha -

Panchami, and carry it on

to his residence through the

market, Doddapet and

Nagarthapet etc

Dharwar etc,

4/1/21

Asst. Secretary.

26.

3/1/21 - 3-1-21

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

1451

20 21

Meer

52 – 20

6

From
The Deputy Commr
Bangalore Dy

To
The Secretary to Govt
Immigration Deptt
Bangalore

Dated
Despatched 3rd & Jany 21

Received
General No. No. 152 20-21

Enclosure No. One

10 JAN 1921

Submits a report
re the petition of
Shivanna of
Kelb, Bangalore City
in the matter of the
Celebration of Ananta
Padakku Utsavam
by Sri Gavi Gangadhar
Swamy
Eswar

AV
10/1/21

H.C 152 20-21

Office of the Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District,
Dated Bangalore, 7th January 1921

From

M. A. Narayana Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

To

T. E. Jayarama Iyer, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Muzrai Department, Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.2367/Muz.52-20-5 dated 4th instant forwarding the petition of Thimmaya of Lingasettipete, Pangalore City, in the matter of the Huvira Palakki Utsavam to Sri Cavi Cangadhareswara Swamy, I have the honor to state that as mentioned in para 2 of my letter No.H.C 152 of 20-21 dated 30th September 1920, there is no objection to the -- petitioner performing the Utsavam on any day except days between

2. But as for the route which he has selected in the interest of the public peace, it is better not to allow the petitioner to take the Utsavam by that route.

3. As mentioned in para 7 of my said letter, if the petitioner desires he may be allowed to take the Utsavam along routes prescribed by the City Magistrate by a licence in order that it may not be objectionable to other communities with vested interests in the temple.

4. The petition is herewith resubmitted.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

M A Narayana Iyengar
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

G.R.S. 26]

SUBJECT.....

Section Inzer

File No. 52-20

Serial No. 7

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the *191* .

No. 2507 Ens

Date of issue 18.1.21

To

The Dy. Commr,

~~and D.S. Magistrate,~~

Bangalore

Signed by Mr J.A. Jeffer

Sir,

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter no. 152 Dy. 1st January 1921 reporting on the filing of Criminal Case of Lingasettipete, Bangalore, in the Court of Criminal Sessions

over.

fr

Sri Gangadharemvara Swami ~~Devasthanam~~ at Ganjam, Bhogapuram, I am directed to state that the petr. may be allowed to conduct the said procession before the next Magha Suddha Panchami, as the objection of the Desadapete Simhasalu to his being allowed to do so, relates only to Pushyami Magha Suddha Navami and Magha Bahula Bidige. As regards the route (of the procession) I am to state that as the one proposed by the petr. is unobjectionable, ~~you may select a route~~ the petr. may conduct the procession through which the petr. may ~~carry out~~ conduct the procession. (If the route is not one herein returned.

List of enclosures

It is open to you in your capacity as Dt Magistrate to prescribe

Copied by

Examined by

15/1/21

15/1/21

G. R. S. 26]

File No. 52
Serial No. 7

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

No. 2546

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
the *191*

To

Date of issue 21.1.21

Signed by M. J. E. Jayaram
Supdt.

238 — dt. 27.7.20.
1346 — dt. 21.12.20

SUBJECT.

over.

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—20,000—10-10 14